UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,037	08/28/2002	Kenji Morimoto	MTS-3321US	6565
Allan Ratner	7590 06/30/200	EXAMINER		
Ratner & Presti		SHIBRU, HELEN		
Suite 301 One Westlake Berwyn P O Box 980			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Valley Forge, P	A 19482-0980	2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/088,037	MORIMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
HELEN SHIBRU	2621		

	HELEN SHIBRU	2621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperent for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the standard of the standard from: (1) the expiration date of the standard from: (1) the expiration date of the standard from in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply original.	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed amendment of the present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but a content of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); er form for appeal by materially rec	TE below); ducing or simplifying t	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co		PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	owable if submitted in a separate,	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 12-13. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,9-11,16-18,25,26,33 and 34. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wi ided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	kplanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowan	ce because:
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Thai Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621	/HELEN SHIBRU/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant states the bit rate in Inazumi is determined based on an interanl clock signal unrelated to the number of counted packets. In response the Examiner respectfully disagrees. The counted packets in Inazumi are inputted to the PCR then the PCR determined the bit rate. The determined bit rate is infact related to the counted packets. Inazumi discloses the packet counter counts the number of packets inputted to the the recording buffer controller and outputs this counts to the PCR generating section 17, see figure 3 where it shows the packet counter goes to PCR generator 17. Then the bit rate is set based on the internal clock signal as admitted by the Applicant. The prior art also teaches The amount of data to be recorded is reduced (Applicant attention is again directed to col. 9 line 49-col. 10 line 59 and the previous office Action). The cited reference teaches the claimed limitation .